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NTHMP inundation mapping work has been conducted to date for the US East Coast without considering sediment processes.

Simulations use 3 types of Atlantic tsunami sources (coseismic, volcanic, landslide)
**Historical Tsunami events in Atlantic Ocean**

- **Lisbon, Portugal, 1755**
  A M8.9 (estimated) earthquake and tsunami killed between 60,000 and 100,000 people.

- **Puerto Rico, 1918**
  A M7.1 earthquake and tsunami killed 116 people, 100 were reported missing, and caused $29M in damage.

- **Grand Banks - 1929**
  A M7.2 earthquake triggered a large submarine slump which caused a tsunami, killing 20+ people.
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• **Tsunami impact** can significantly modify coastal morphology

• **Post-tsunami measurements** suggest that, during tsunami inundation, large amounts of coastal sediment is eroded and deposited onshore and offshore
During recent tsunamis, significant sediment processes were observed in coastal regions with barrier islands.

For instance, after the 2011 Tohoku-Oki Tsunami, Udo et al. (2012) reported scours larger than 10 meters on the northern barrier at the mouths of the Natori River.

Sugawara et al. (2014)
• **Inundation mapping** so far uses fixed bathymetry/topography as basis for modeling

• **Significant morphological changes** can occur to bathymetry and topography during the course of an event.

• **Sediment transport models** based on fairly standard versions of shallow flows have skill in predicting patterns and magnitudes of topo/bathy change => *Embedded sediment model in FUNWAVE*

Yamashita et al. (2016)
Field validation test

2011 Tohoku tsunami impact was simulated on Crescent City harbor, using Grilli et al. (2012), Kirby et al. (2013) source. **Morphodynamic validation** is based on pre- and post-tsunami measurements of Wilson et al. (2012).
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Barrier Islands Response Under Tsunami Inundation

- **Phase I**
  - Tsunami Waves *overtop* barrier and cause erosion on top of the barrier and deposition in the back bay.
  - During rundown significant *shoreface erosion* occurs, with sediment deposited offshore.

- **Phase II**
  - Higher water elevation in back bay than in ocean, causing offshore directed flow => *significant shoreface scouring* and large deposition offshore.
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What happens to the Barrier after the Tsunami?
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Resulting changes in inundated areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tsunami</th>
<th>Inundated Area (Static)</th>
<th>Inundated Area (Dynamic)</th>
<th>Inundation Area Increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>7.03 km³</td>
<td>10.61 km³</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landslide</td>
<td>9.46 km³</td>
<td>13.43 km³</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic cone collapse</td>
<td>10.94 km³</td>
<td>19.25 km³</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisbon</td>
<td>1.28 km³</td>
<td>7.02 km³</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Bed morphology** changes during extreme events leading to large increase in inundation extent
- Erosion for the **smallest event** (LSB) causes the largest relative increase (barrier island breaches)
- Similar effects are expected for large storms (and waves) associated with large storm surges => other work (A. Grilli et al.)
What happens to the Barrier after the Tsunami?
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Summary

- **Morphological response** of barrier islands to tsunamis *can be simulated* by coupling tsunami model (e.g., FUNWAVE-TVD) with a sediment erosion/deposition/transport model and a morphology scheme.

- **Most significant phase** in tsunami-sediment interactions is wave rundown which causes significant erosion/scouring of the shoreface leading to *permanent changes*.

- **Beach profile changes** during tsunami inundation can *increase tsunami runup* onshore, thus *increasing hazard level* in the mainland behind the barrier island.
Morphological change does increase tsunami Hazard

- **Runup** in the mainland behind the barrier is increased due to *barrier crest erosion*, making it *easier for later waves* in the tsunami to reach highland behind the barrier.
- **East Coast 5-year plan** includes recomputing inundation in high-hazard including shoreline/barrier erosion => *First year approved in FY19*
Thank you

Questions?
1 - Sediment Transport Model \( (dt_{sed} = dt_{hyd}) \)

FUNWAVE-TVD \((\eta,u,v)\) at each time step are used to force a sediment transport equation (solved with finite different scheme).
2 - Morphology Model \((dt_{\text{morph}} = N \times dt_{\text{hyd}})\)

\[
\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{1}{p} \left( \bar{P} - \bar{D} \right)
\]

3 – Avalanche Model \((dt_{\text{morph}})\)

- When the beach slope exceeds the repose angle of the sediment, the avalanching occurs, and form a new slope with the repose angle.

- Here we used the algorithm developed by Larson and Kraus (1989) for SBEACH.
Model Validation
Kobayashi and Lawrence (2004)
Laboratory experiments were performed to examine the cross-shore sediment transport processes under breaking solitary waves on a fine sand beach.