## National Tsunami Hazard Mitigation Program Warning Coordination Subcommittee

## Annual Meeting Notes

July 2022

## 1. Hazard Simplification Project

- A. Review of WCS Recommendation Submitted to NOAA/NWS in October 2021
  - "Advisory" term will no longer exist; however, the Alert Level will remain and be replaced with a "Warning" option
  - "Maritime and Shoreline Tsunami Warning" was the previous WCS recommendation for new Warning Level Headline (Advisory Replacement)
  - Discussed the importance and need for follow-on messaging
  - Need to clearly differentiate between 2 Warning Levels
  - Desire discussed to include "Tsunami" and "Warning" words in new headline
  - Need to use understandable terminology; desired actions from alert levels
  - Short/concise statement, no more than 5 to 6 words
  - Important to consider Spanish Translation current recommendation to state "Aviso de Tsunami" will cause problems and does not include maritime
  - Other headline options considered by WCS were discussed during the meeting. These options are listed in the Hazard Simplification Project paper submitted by the WCS to NOAA/NWS
  - Identify impacts to format of other NOAA products
- B. Washington "Deep Dive" proposal
  - State of Washington included a task proposal to conduct a Hazard Simplification Project "Deep Dive" on their FY22 NOAA Tsunami Grant application.
  - Goal: 100% WCS Membership participation
  - Identify Implementation Needs for deploying Hazard Simplification messaging
  - Define how messages are (and will be) disseminated through all dissemination chains to get to end points

## C. WCS Decision Point:

- WCS must make recommendation based on 2 options at September 2022 NTHMP Coordinating Committee meetings:
  - Option 1: 2 Warning Levels
  - Option 2: 1 Warning Level w/ Qualifiers
- WCS Co-Chairs will distribute membership survey to vote on the 2 options in August 2022
- D. WCS Future Tasks
  - Language contained in Bulletins
  - > Bulletin format
- 2. Breakpoint Discussion
  - A. Some breakpoints no longer align with current public forecast zones; future zone changes may continue to add to misalignment
  - B. PTWC does not use Breakpoints Pacific Island partners (Guam, CNMI) may not been Breakpoints due to size and wrap-around (tsunami action)
  - C. NTWC understands need for additional Breakpoints:
    - NTWC does not currently have capability to add Breakpoints possibly in the future
    - NWS is changing Forecast Zone alignment impacts Breakpoint alignment
    - Alerting area changes can over or under alert
  - D. Can Breakpoints be moved? (NTWC not currently)
    - 2014 SAFRR Project Breakpoint moved from Orange County/Los Angeles County line to the Orange County/San Diego County line
  - E. Technology limitations need to be defined
  - F. NOAA reminded us that there will not be a delay in moving forward in the "Advisory" change process
  - G. Technology Discussion request to MMS for technical assistance
    - Vertices cannot currently be expanded potentially in future system upgrade

    - Additional impact modeling needed not currently modeling to edge and resonance along the coast. A finer scale of the impacts along the coast is needed.
    - Current system set-up to alert outer coast. NTWC has modified this for some non-seismic sources, and for some inside waters locations.
  - H. Forecasting Dissemination
    - > Determine how to get higher resolution data along the coast
    - Islands need support

- Gaps exist and needs to be addressed
- Support and resources are needed
- First to experience tsunami impacts in many tsunami events
- GNSS cables provide deep ocean observations. More work needed regarding this technology
  - Potentially improve WCs confidence in data
- I. WCS formally voted to submit a request to the NTHMP Mapping and Modeling Sub-committee to provide guidance and input on the Tsunami Warning System/Breakpoint recommendations to NOAA/NWS.
  - > Identify what is needed to meet state and local needs
  - Provide steps on how to get to "where we need"