

Participants: C. Allen, D. Arcas, I. Sears, R. Wilson, D. Nicolsky, K. Stroker, K. Carignan, S. Grilli, S. Ross, M. Eblé, F. Cheung, D. Eungard

### Agenda Items

1. Feedback on MMS-sponsored proposed Grant tasks  
No discussion. All sponsored proposals were submitted in State grant requests and leads are awaiting feedback.
2. DEM update and plan (K. Carignan)  
NCEI has all they need for DEM development. The FY19 outer coast of Washington is in progress. LIDAR data are in hand and time to complete depends on how long it takes to update the existing tiles. After completing the outer coast of Washington, NCEI will start on FY20 priorities: Alaska sites, Oregon Umpqua River area, and for Washington, the Strait of Juan de Fuca. NCEI cautioned that the Strait of Juan de Fuca may not be completed before the end of FY20.  
  
C. Allen asked about no cost extensions given the situation with Covid-19 and that the Washington outer coast DEM is not yet ready.  
I. Sears thinks a no cost extension will be a reasonable ask when the time comes. For now, I. Sears and NWS is planning for no disruption to Grant awards and for overall success.
3. Powell Center Update (S. Ross)  
The update focused on planning for the next, Cascadia, workshop. On 31 Jan after the close of the NTHMP subcommittee meetings in Portland, the Powell Center working group met with WA and OR to plan the Cascadia workshop. A list of potential invitees was drafted, and a few date options agreed upon. The week of 24 August is, as of now, looking to work for most respondents.  
  
D. Arcas asked about the process for deciding on who to invite and suggested D. Melgar. He also requested that the list of invitees be shared.  
S. Ross clarified that the list is not yet final. Some invitees have not replied with availability and others have not yet been requested to participate. The final list will be shared but not sure about sharing a list before that.  
In post-teleconference follow-up conversations, it was decided to broadly share the invitee list once finalized.
4. Landslide guidance document status (S. Grilli)  
S. Grilli confirmed that the landslide guidance document is still pending completion. There have been no changes in status since the January subcommittee meetings in Portland when MMS members recommended some changes to the document, noted to be ~95% complete at the time. S. Grilli and J. Kirby want to ensure that the guidance document and journal manuscript align with one another so have decided to finish the paper first. The results section of the paper is primarily what remains.

### Other Items

1. Gap analysis spreadsheet. J. Allan solicited a final round of input from folks and based on the input received, the tool is considered to be essentially done. J. Allan plans to have an accompanying guidance document drafted within the next few weeks.
2. Letter to American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). NTHMP representatives from the five (5) states that ASCE 7, Chapter 6 applies to, developed a letter requesting that ASCE openly share the end-to-end process and modeling results in a timely fashion. I. Sears worked with J. Allan and R. Wilson to redraft the letter and it is now with G. Cooper for final review before going on to General Counsel for final approval.

In response to interest expressed in getting a copy of the letter, it was decided that the final version approved by General Counsel would be made available.

#### Questions and Comments

D. Nicolsky suggested that next generation of maps should be done by individual states.

Hawaii was cited and it was clarified that the the next generation of maps are being done with funding from the State, separate from NTHMP.

F. Cheung seems to remember that there 10-m resolution is stipulated in ASCE 7 for states that have LIDAR. If data not available, requirement would be relaxed.

D. Eungard did not recall any resolution specified in the document.

Based on continued questions and a general lack of details in the ASCE 7, C. Allen suggested a MMS teleconference dedicated to ASCE requirements. It was further suggested to invite Ian Robertson to answer questions.

*Action: J. Allan and M. Eblé to discuss a focused call on ASCE requirements and contact Ian Robertson for availability.*